



我從很久以前就打算出國讀書，大三的時候，經由朋友介紹到了 The EGG 英語蛋 Daniel 老師這裡上課。來上課之前原本擔心像托福這樣難的考試題目，上起課來應該會很無趣又乏味，但來上了課之後，和我想像中的完全不同，Daniel 老師上課方式非常吸引人，讓原本很乏味的內容變得有趣多了！讓我變得非常喜歡上英文課，每個禮拜都很期待來上老師的課。老師也教了很多的聽力練習方法，以及閱讀的解題技巧，對我來說幫助非常大！非常的謝謝老師。

準備出國是一個很辛苦的過程，瑣碎的事情非常多，容易讓人覺得疲憊。但來這邊上課時，老師都會分享他以前在英國讀書的有趣或是特別的事，又讓大家燃起一定要出國唸書的鬥志！很嚮往老師說的外國留學生生活，經歷和在台灣完全不同的事。

我也很喜歡這樣小班制的環境，每個同學會在互相練習口說的時候進而認識彼此，分享大家申請學校的過程，你會覺得有很多的戰友，不是自己一個人孤單的！大家也會進行讀書會來監督彼此，幫助彼此，這是別的地方看不到的，讀書很重要的就是週邊的風氣，這裡能讓自己更努力往前走！

經過了好幾個月的錄音，準備文件，托福考試，以及最重要的到美國 **audition** 這些非常辛苦的過程之後，我上了我最想要的學校 **NYU**，讓我很想跟他上課的老師上課，一切辛苦值得了。但我知道，申請上了只是個開始，往後的留學生活會比那過程更辛苦的，但能為自己的夢想做努力是一件非常幸福的事。

### 獨立寫作

In times of an economic crisis, in which area should the government reduce its spending? 1. Education 2. Health Care 3.support for the unemployed.

I think that during an economic crisis, the government should reduce its spending on health care. It's a tough choice, but I think cutting back on health care would cause the least damage compared to the other options. Many people still have the option of receiving health care through their jobs, education and support for the unemployed would crumble without government assistance, and cutting back on health care would have the least dramatic effect at the start.

Although there is health care through the government, many people are still able to receive health care from their employer. Therefore, cutting back on health care in some areas wouldn't cause an immediate disaster. Those who have the option to get health care at their jobs could switch and begin doing that. People in more dire situations, meanwhile, could continue to receive assistance through the government. It wouldn't be ideal, but it would be manageable for most of the population. And it would reduce government spending, because they would be providing health care to less people than they were before.

It would be different if the government reduced spending on education or support for the unemployed. Those are two areas that are highly regulated and funded by the government. If all public schools suddenly provided a cheaper education, all that would happen is the kids would suffer. It's not realistic that they would all move to private schools, paying out of their own pocket. Many people can't afford that. And government assistance is the main thing that helps the unemployed stay on their feet. The alternatives for them are terrible. They would have to beg, steal, or starve otherwise. Support from the government is absolutely vital for them.

Finally, reducing spending on health care would not have immediate, drastic effects like the other choices would. If you cut funding for schools, within weeks there would

probably be more junk food in the cafeterias and fewer music classes and plans to lay-off some teachers. The orders for newer computers would be cancelled, and so on. And unemployed people would immediately go into panic mode, not knowing how they were going to pay their next bill or get enough food for the next month. But if people can either keep their government healthcare if that's all they have or switch to their healthcare at their job, nothing is really disrupted there. Paperwork gets shuffled but the day-to-day stays the same.

That is why I think the government should reduce spending on healthcare in an economic crisis. The field is open enough that many people can still receive non-government healthcare through work, education and unemployment support are very dependent upon the government, and reduced healthcare would not have immediate negative effects.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? In order to attract good students, a university should spend a lot of money funding social activities.

I disagree with this. The high quality of classes, professors, and lessons should be the focus for attracting good students to universities. It's rare that students choose colleges based on social activities. Also, university clubs and other social organizations usually do their own separate fundraising anyway.

When good students are researching colleges, they certainly want to know that the classes are high quality. These are kids who have studied hard and excelled throughout high school. They like learning. They want to know that for the next four years, they will continue to learn and be excited and challenged by their teachers. For the majority of college-bound students, this is their priority. Therefore, assuring them that they will get an excellent education is the best way to attract them.

Also, I don't think many students are thinking about a college's social activities when they are choosing which school to attend. Once they get to college, sure, they can delve into clubs and such. But students are so stressed out about everything else when they are first applying, like whether they'll live in the dorms or how much tuition costs. I don't believe campus social activities are at the forefront of their thoughts. Is it really that big of a deal if the dances have a live band instead of a local DJ? Or if there are five different environmental clubs? These things are secondary. Throwing money at them won't necessarily bring in good students.

Finally, it seems irresponsible for a college to spend a lot of money funding social activities when typically campus clubs and organizations do their own fundraising. Bake sales and car washes and other fundraising activities have worked well enough so far! The university itself should stick to official business, like paying professors and staff members. It would be a shame if their salaries got cut because some of the college's funds were re-routed to pay for social activities. It would just harm the school instead of helping it.

That's why I don't think universities should start spending a lot of money on social activities, in order to attract good students. Hard-working students care the most about their education, clubs and activities are not their priority when choosing a college, and social activities work fine funding themselves anyway.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? In the busy and crowded world today, we should not expect people to be polite to each other.

As a traditional virtue, politeness gets little attention nowadays. As people are becoming occupied by other concerns, many see polite greetings as more dispensable than ever before. However, I maintain that politeness is not outdated and that we should always be polite to others.

People are paying less attention to polite greetings than in the past for various reasons. Along with changing social and political attitudes, people are becoming busier; in these circumstances, good manners sometimes seem like a waste of time. Traditionally, Chinese people considered it friendly to talk while eating and to keep shaking hands for a long time when greeting. Although these behaviors still make individuals appear more hospitable, recently these conventions have been ignored because they take a long time to observe. In order to save time and energy, people have dispensed with several good but time-consuming manners.

Although one could argue that certain boring and intricate greetings should be abandoned, basic politeness is not outdated. For instance, when we meet strangers at work or school, shaking hands for a long time wastes precious time; however, a simple and cheery hello is necessary to express friendship. Similarly, talking while eating sometimes prohibits people from concentrating; a simple gift may be equally effective at communicating the same message.

Furthermore, politeness is beneficial in many ways. Being polite is conducive to developing intimate friendships. A warm greeting upon meeting a friend, a heartfelt apology upon doing something wrong, and an expression of thanks when others offer help are all gestures that promote friendship. Conversely, receiving polite treatment from others brings a merry heart. An important aspect of the modern world is cooperation; politeness and cooperation are inseparable.

In summary, although certain boring and complicated greetings have become outdated and should be abandoned, politeness has not become irrelevant and offers numerous advantages.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? We should state our honest opinions even though other people may disagree with them.

I agree that it is a good thing to state our honest opinions, even though others may disagree with us. You're never going to please everyone, so you might as well say what you truly feel. Sometimes when you speak your mind, you find that you set off a chain reaction of others standing up and supporting you. Finally, hiding your true voice can cause deep personal frustration and unhappiness.

No matter what, you're never going to please everyone with what you say. Even if you try to be blandly pleasant and non-offensive all the time, someone is going to disagree with you. It's just human nature. Our opinions and personalities are so varied and complex. You say you think the weather is nice today? Someone will respond that it's too cold. You think cats are cute? Ew! Someone thinks they are bratty and mean animals! If there is always going to be disagreement no matter what, you might as well say what you truly think. You'll feel better. Don't worry about offending people; it's unavoidable! Go ahead and say you think your town's mayor is out-of-touch, if you think it. Or that personalized license plates are tacky or that the latest hit pop song is annoying, or whatever! Speak your mind.

Also, the positive aspect of this is that sometimes, when you speak up about something, others will be inspired to do the same. They could have just been too shy or scared before. For example, a parent could write an open letter to the local newspaper, saying that the speed limit is way too fast near a popular park where lots of kids play. Maybe a lot of other parents had thought the same thing and worried about their children's safety, but had never said anything because they didn't want to start trouble. Encouraged by the open letter, they could then write their own letters

of support and work to eventually get the speed limit lowered. The key was that first parent speaking his or her mind, making others feel safe to do so too.

Not speaking honestly is also just bad for the soul. People can begin to feel depressed and frustrated if they censor themselves and don't speak their minds. They feel as if their voice doesn't matter, so they must not matter either. They shouldn't hide their own thoughts and opinions.

Yes, it is important for people to be honest and speak their minds, regardless of what others think. You can't please everyone anyway, you could inspire others to speak out as well, and hiding your thoughts is unhealthy and damaging.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Teachers were more appreciated and valued by the society in the past than they are now.

I agree that teachers are not as appreciated by society today as they used to be. Parents are not as respectful toward them, class sizes are larger and more unruly than ever, and the desire that schools have for higher test scores makes personal lesson plans not that important.

It used to be that when a parent got a note from their child's teacher or talked with a teacher during a conference, they listened very closely to what was said and respected it. Even if the news about the child was negative, the parents would take it in stride. They would then relay that information to their child, like it was the word of a judge. "Mrs. Carter says that you've been disrupting your math class a lot. Do you want to explain yourself?" "Mr. Brandt told me about you trying to cheat on your history exam. You're grounded for the next three weeks." But now, it seems, parents spoil their children so much that they often can't bear to hear anything bad about their precious little angels. Rather, they'll get angry with the teacher and demand some sort of punishment for him or her. It's ridiculous, because teachers are just trying to do what's best for everyone involved. But they get lashed out at.

It's well known that teachers don't make that much money for how much work they do. But the day-to-day situation is just getting worse, because average class sizes are continuing to increase. That means teachers are still making a small amount of money, but they're dealing with more kids, more headaches, and more conflicts

every single day.

Finally, teachers used to be able to mold their lesson plans more closely to their own passions about certain subjects. If an English teacher loved the works of John Steinbeck, maybe she could have her students read two of Steinbeck's novels and then write an essay comparing them. It would make the kids learn and the teacher would have a genuinely good time reading the essays. While stuff like that can still happen sometimes, more and more it seems that teachers are at the mercy of standardized tests. Schools become extremely concerned about how well their students are going to score on nationwide tests, so they strongly emphasize that teachers focus on subjects the test will cover. Suddenly that English teacher can't teach Steinbeck. She has to focus on whatever the school wants her to.

That's why I think teachers are not valued as much as they used to be. Parents don't respect them nearly as much, class sizes keep increasing despite low pay, and standardized tests make lesson plans lose their fun.

6.

130412NAW2

小範圍

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Workers would be much happier if they are doing different types of tasks during their workday than doing the same task.

參考思路：

同意好寫

1. The worker will get bored easily if he keeps doing the same type of task for a long time. This will further create risk of making mistakes or even physical injuries if the person keeps the same body gesture for a long time.

2. By contrast, doing different types of tasks makes the work more enjoyable. For example, after a period of physical task the person can do some mental task.

3. By doing a variety of tasks a person can develop skills in different areas. This can



help the person with his or her career development because the worker has more skills to apply for a greater range of jobs.

4. By doing a variety of tasks a person can also meet different people and develop a large network of friends. These friends can often provide valuable help not only in the worker's life but also career.

做筆記

收藏該題

7.

130531NAW2

小範圍

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The most important things people learn are from their families.

Opinions vary widely from person to person, or at different stages of life for any individual, whenever it comes to the topic of what are the most important things and how to gain them. There might be too many things for anyone to acquire and cherish in a single lifetime, but to me, what I hold most essential is one's capacity to love and to shoulder responsibilities. These two qualities are initially shaped and later influenced to a large extent by one's families.

It is true that we gain an abundance of skills and knowledge at school or outside the family setting as we grow older, but the ability for us to love, not merely ourselves but our family members, friends, strangers or even our enemies, originates in our families. If we grow up in a loving environment, seeing how our parents live out, rather than simply talk about, their love and care for each other, for their parents, siblings, neighbors, community members on a daily basis, we would find it natural to do the same as adults. Being considerate and empathic may lead us into a richer life with greater humanity and commitment in a modern mechanized society.

Then there is responsibility. Being responsible is a highly desirable personality in today's fast moving and increasingly complex world. Everyone prefers to be friends with, to do business with, or to marry someone who is responsible. The sense of responsibility, however, is not something that can be taught. It is, in my opinion, like



a family tradition that is passed down from an older generation to the next. When we were still young, we observed our parents or elder brothers and sisters dealing with people and situations in responsible ways and we learned to do the same until it becomes our habit.

That being said, I do not mean that we learn nothing or little out of the family; what I am saying is that though we benefit greatly from different learning, it is our families that turn us into both loving and responsible individuals.

Some students prefer to have their final grades determined by numerous small assignments, whereas others prefer to have their final grades determined by only a few large assignments. Which do you prefer and why?

I prefer to have my final grade determined by numerous small assignments rather than one or two large assignments. That way, procrastination is less likely because I'm sharply focused on the frequent due dates. I also tend to learn more throughout the semester and each assignment is less stressful because they aren't worth a huge percentage of my total grade.

Procrastination can be a big problem for some students, myself included. When there are only a few large assignments in a class, there can be several weeks in a row where we aren't required to turn in any work. The assumption is that we are studying or writing at home in the meantime. However, I know that this can sometimes lead to procrastination on my part. I take advantage of the situation and think I can take a few days to relax before getting to work. But more and more time goes by and suddenly I am scrambling to complete the assignment before the due date. Turning in smaller assignments more frequently prevents this scenario. The structure doesn't allow me to fall behind because something is always due in the near future.

These frequent assignments also assure that we students are learning more throughout the semester. For example, if each small assignment covers one chapter from the textbook, then my classmates and I will read each assigned chapter because we must. A test or new assignment is always around the corner to check our work. But such specific studying isn't really necessary if we don't even turn anything in until midway through the semester. Certain chapters might get skimmed or even skipped out of perceived unimportance. Maybe we save time, but we are receiving less information overall, which isn't good.

Finally, having only a few large assignments can be tremendously stressful. I'm always well aware that doing poorly on an essay could result in me failing the entire class if the essay is worth a large percentage of my overall grade. This can have a nearly paralyzing effect on my work because the pressure is just too much. Nothing I do seems good enough when the assignment is that important. Having several smaller assignments is much more pleasant because I know it isn't the end of the world if something goes wrong with one of them. My grade won't be affected too terribly. I'm then more confident and at ease in my work.

That is why I much prefer having my grade determined by several small assignments instead of a few large assignments. I'm not allowed to procrastinate, I engage more with the subject matter over the course of the semester, and I'm not overwhelmed with stress because of massively important assignments.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The most important goal of education is to teach people how to educate themselves.

There is no issue more important than education. Each parent or teacher wants to produce the smartest student. But what is the standard of a good education? By answering this question we should first of all look at the ultimate purpose of education. Most people would agree that the passing of knowledge is the main goal of education. Indeed this is important but what's more important is the ability to get this knowledge on one's own, even without teachers, i.e. to educate oneself.

Learning is a life-long process. A person starts learning the moment he is born, and we continue to learn even when we are old. So we are learning every minute, and everywhere. But teachers cannot be with you all the time. You have to learn how to learn by yourself when no teacher is available. If you possess this self-learning ability, then you have the freedom to learn what you like, anywhere, anytime. I think you will feel more powerful and life will be more fun if you have this freedom of knowledge. Apparently, school education should equip students with this power – the skill of self-learning.

Secondly, the development of modern technologies in education means that anyone can be a teacher for himself or herself. With abundant information on the Internet, it is not necessary to go to the classroom and read those boring textbooks. However, there is a problem here. If there is too much information, then sometimes people get confused. They get lost in a sea of information and do not know where to start.

Therefore school education should take this into consideration and start teaching students how to design their own learning plans and how to make the best use of modern technologies to learn, such as iphone and ipad. Students equipped with this skill will no doubt have a much better chance to become competitive individuals in this society.

Finally, learning is a highly individualized process. Each person has his or her own way of learning, and there is nothing right or wrong about it. But the most important thing is to find the most suitable way to learn, a way that can realize one's own potential, or weakness, and sometimes it is difficult to achieve this in a traditional classroom where many students are being taught the same knowledge in the same way. I think the best education should be to teach students how to realize their own potentials and let the students choose their own learning path. In this way, each student becomes the best teacher so the learning progress will be much faster.

As Confucius once said "Give a person a fish, and you feed him for one day. Teach him how to fish, and you feed him for a life time". Indeed, the most important goal of education is not to stuff knowledge into students' head, but to teach them how to make the best use of their brain to get the most useful knowledge. This will benefit their whole life.

If your teacher says something incorrect in a class, what will you do? 1. Interrupt your teacher right away 2. Keep silent 3. Correct your teacher after class

If my teacher says something incorrect during class, I think it is best to correct the teacher after class. To begin with, I wouldn't want to embarrass my teacher in front of the other students. I also wouldn't want the other students to potentially doubt my teacher's credibility, when the incorrect remark was probably just a small mistake. At the same time, it's important to ensure the teacher is aware of their mistake and is able to remedy it.

It is unnecessary and slightly rude to interrupt your teacher during class if he or she says something incorrect. They could become embarrassed and flustered at being corrected in such a public setting. Even if my intentions were good, teachers are only human. They have egos. They could even be angry with me because it seemed like I was undermining their authority as an educator.

There is also the chance that some of the other students could start to get a skeptical

view of the teacher's abilities if the mistake was blatantly pointed out. Even if it was just a small mistake, like saying a king was born five years earlier than he really was, different people's perceptions can vary wildly about such errors. A couple students could repeat the incident to their friends, saying that the teacher doesn't seem to know much about his or her own subject. Gossip and unfair reputations can sometimes begin in such ways and I wouldn't want that.

However, it is still important that the teacher is made aware of his or her mistake so that they don't continue teaching it to more students. Nicely mentioning it to them after class is the best option. My tone will immediately seem more friendly and conversational rather than confrontational, hopefully making the teacher more receptive. All he or she would have to do is discreetly change that small part of the lecture for the next class and the problem would be solved, to everyone's benefit.

That's why I believe that privately correcting a teacher after class when they make a mistake is the best choice. They are not publicly embarrassed, other students aren't made aware of the mistake, and the teacher is still able to remedy the error for future classes.

11.

131019NAW2

小范围

A high school has decided that all students must take a class in which they learn a practical skill. School administrators are trying to decide whether to hold a class in cooking, managing personal finances or auto repair. Which do you think the school should require students to take? Why?

I believe that the high school should require its students to learn cooking as a practical skill. Of the three options, cooking is the skill most likely to be used on a regular basis. It is also the simplest and least risky, whereas the managing of personal finances and auto repair can often require the help of professionals. Finally, cooking classes would be the most interactive and likely capture the attention of the students more than the other two subjects.

Cooking is a practical skill that is immediately useful. High school students could

literally go home after school and prepare dinner for their parents, based on what they learned in class. Of course, as the students become adults and move out on their own, the ability to cook will be even more appreciated in their day-to-day lives. The difference between microwaveable food, full of salt and preservatives, and a hearty home-cooked meal is quite noticeable. The simple fact is that human beings eat food every day and knowing how to cook food is endlessly beneficial. While being able to manage personal finances or repair an automobile are also useful skills, they aren't necessarily ones that will be used frequently or consistently. Some people don't own their own car or even have a driver's license. Many students don't have a regular income, so managing finances could seem like an abstract concept that they're not ready for. But everyone can connect with the idea of being able to prepare a nice meal for themselves and others.

It is also difficult to fail drastically at cooking. Yes, you can accidentally burn bread or add sugar to your stew instead of salt, but the negative results are still minor. At worst, you end up throwing the meal in the trash. The negative consequences of failing at auto repair or managing personal finances can be much more severe. Somebody could wire their engine incorrectly and cause it to catch fire, resulting in hundreds of dollars in damages. They could invest a large amount of cash in a risky stock, losing a lot of money in the process. Even adults often go to professionals for such matters. Forcing teenagers to gain a rudimentary knowledge of auto repair or personal finance might be frustrating and even a little risky if they get into difficult situations that they're not equipped to handle.

Cooking classes also seem that they would be the most interactive and engaging for high school students. Meals can be prepared in groups and then tasted by the entire class. Creating interesting and tasty dishes could actually be a fun activity, letting the students both compete in a friendly way and assist each other. Fixing cars or considering finances, on the other hand, are activities that more or less happen alone. While some students would surely enjoy them, others would be quite bored.

That's why I believe that it would be best for the high school to teach cooking as a practical skill, rather than auto repair or managing personal finances. Cooking is endlessly useful in daily life, it is the most difficult activity to botch, and the classes would likely be the most interactive and fun for students across the board.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? To truly enjoy a vacation(holiday), people should leave their mobile phones at home.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The more money people have, the more they should give away to charity.

I agree with the idea that the more money people have, the more they should give to charity. People usually require the help of others to become wealthy in the first place and therefore should be especially eager to give back to the community. Even people with small or moderate incomes will often make sure they give a little, so it only seems right that people with higher incomes should give a larger amount of money. Finally, at a certain point, owning large sums of money seems gratuitous and unnecessary. Being generous with cash can ease the mind and make one feel more fulfilled in life.

It's quite rare that people become wealthy all on their own. Maybe they took out loans to help start their business. Maybe they received scholarships or financial aid to help pay their way through law school. If they invented a product, their initial customers were graciously taking a chance on an unknown enterprise and helped secure the inventor's bright future. Nobody achieves financial success in a bubble. Other people help in both direct and indirect ways. That's why the wealthiest people should be the most generous when it comes to charity and giving to others. They personally know how much a small act of generosity can transform someone's life for the better.

Additionally, many people donate to charity even if they themselves are not exactly wealthy. They'll give five or ten dollars to a charity when they can spare it because they want to feel they are helping in some fashion. If a wealthy person could just as easily donate a hundred dollars or a thousand dollars or more, they absolutely should do so. It would make very little difference to them but to the people benefiting from the money, it would be greatly appreciated.

Being generous also can make one feel quite at ease. It's not a good feeling to be selfish, hoarding your money away like a miser. Wealthy people who cut off their funds also seem to be cutting themselves off from the rest of the world. Once you have enough money to be comfortable, any excess cash just seems unnecessary and odd. I think that wealthy people who truly give generously to charity will feel more fulfilled and even peaceful, knowing they're helping their fellow man to the best of their abilities.

In conclusion, I absolutely think that the more money people have, the more they should give away to charity. People with a lot of money were probably assisted by others during their rise to success, so they should feel compelled to give back. When kind-hearted regular citizens are generous enough to donate small amounts of money, it only seems correct that wealthy citizens donate even more. Lastly, selfishness makes one feel isolated, but this burden is lifted when charity is given freely to those who need it.

In the past young people depended on their parents for making decisions. Today, young people are better able to make decisions on their own.

It is not uncommon in the past for parents to go to all the length, racking their brains to figure out what is the best school for their children to go, which is the most ideal job and who is the perfect match for marriage. Believing that they are building the brightest future for the young, they literally live the lives for their children who, by contrast, stay relaxed / are rather laid-back, take whatever has been done to move ahead. It is, however, not the case today.

Previously, young people depend largely on their parents for decision making partly due to the patriarchal role parents play and partly to the lack of information media. In a traditional family matrix, the father, as the head of the house, make virtually all major decisions for the entire family, particularly for the kids who are taught to do / fulfill their filial duties by following what has been decided on, leaving hardly any room for discussion, questioning, or thinking of other options. Then as time goes by, the young get used / accustomed to this pattern, like it or not. In addition, the lack of information input leads further to this state of passivity and dependence. With pitifully meager source at hand, the young do have difficulty working out a blueprint for their future study or work. It is simply natural for them to turn to their family members, their parents in particular, who are more sophisticated and well-travelled, for advice, guidance, or even decision-making.

Not surprisingly, this dynamic has changed as the times move on. With an easy access to a wide range of websites and social media, hence information at the tips of their fingers, children and young people today are better equipped with sufficient and mostly reliable knowledge, to help make sensible decisions. They do not rely merely on their parents' experience and worldly wisdom; rather, they turn to their peers for skills or knowledge more relevant to their needs. Besides, young people today, taking their parents as equals, are allowed and actually encouraged to probe



into the unknown, to think for themselves and make decisions on their own.

Compared with those in the past, young people these days are more capable of making their own decisions and taking responsibilities for their choices, thanks to the technological advance in a society moving increasingly towards dialogues and communication across generations.



我從很久以前就打算出國讀書，大三的時候，經由朋友介紹到了 **The EGG** 英語蛋 **Daniel** 老師這裡上課。來上課之前原本擔心像托福這樣難的考試題目，上起課來應該會很無趣又乏味，但來上了課之後，和我想像中的完全不同，**Daniel** 老師上課方式非常吸引人，讓原本很乏味的內容變得有趣多了！讓我變得非常喜歡上英文課，每個禮拜都很期待來上老師的課。老師也教了很多的聽力練習方法，以及閱讀的解題技巧，對我來說幫助非常大！非常的謝謝老師。

準備出國是一個很辛苦的過程，瑣碎的事情非常多，容易讓人覺得疲憊。但來這邊上課時，老師都會分享他以前在英國讀書的有趣或是特別的事，又讓大

家燃起一定要出國唸書的鬥志！很嚮往老師說的外國留學生生活，經歷和在台灣完全不同的事。

我也很喜歡這樣小班制的環境，每個同學會在互相練習口說的時候進而認識彼此，分享大家申請學校的過程，你會覺得有很多的戰友，不是自己一個人孤單的！大家也會進行讀書會來監督彼此，幫助彼此，這是別的地方看不到的，讀書很重要的就是週邊的風氣，這裡能讓自己更努力往前走！

經過了好幾個月的錄音，準備文件，托福考試，以及最重要的到美國 **audition** 這些非常辛苦的過程之後，我上了我最想要的學校 **NYU**，讓我很想跟他上課的老師上課，一切辛苦的可貴了。但我知道，申請上了只是個開始，往後的留學生活會比那過程更辛苦的，但能為自己的夢想做努力是一件非常幸福的事。